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As the most valued source of food and nutrition services, dietetics professionals are accountable and responsible for their practices and the unique services they provide. The American Dietetic Association (ADA) leads the dietetics profession by developing standards by which the quality of practice and service can be evaluated. Within the Scope of Dietetics Practice Framework (1), the standards, along with the Code of Ethics (2), guide and direct the practice and professional performance of dietetics in all settings (see the article by O’Sullivan Mailet and colleagues in this issue).

The standards describe a competent level of dietetics practice and professional performance. They are authoritative statements addressing four standards of practice in nutrition care, designed as two separate sets of standards—one for registered dietitians (RDs) and one for dietetic technicians, registered (DTRs)—as well as six standards of professional performance common to all registered dietetics professionals. The Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance are generic standards and may evolve to include specialty and advanced practice standards for RDs in specific practice areas.

This article presents the ADA’s Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care and the updated Standards of Professional Performance (see the Web site exclusive Appendix at www.adajournal.org) incorporating for the first time the ADA’s Nutrition Care Process and Model (3).

STANDARDS OF PRACTICE IN NUTRITION CARE FOR THE REGISTERED DIETITIAN, STANDARDS OF PRACTICE IN NUTRITION CARE FOR THE DIETETIC TECHNICIAN, REGISTERED, AND STANDARDS OF PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE FOR DIETETICS PROFESSIONALS

What Are the Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care?
The Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care:

- describe in general terms a competent level of nutrition care practice as shown by the nutrition care process, the systematic problem-solving method that dietetics professionals use to think critically and make decisions to address nutrition-related problems and provide safe, effective, high-quality nutrition care;
- are based on the Nutrition Care Process and Model, which shows that all practice is centered around relationships that are collaborative, client-focused, and individualized;
- are reflective of the Commission on Accreditation for Dietetics Education core educational competencies and research impacting the profession (4);
- reflect the evolving nature of dietetics practice as health care continues to change. The standards evolved from the need to more clearly describe a competent level of dietetics practice based on two significant developments for the profession: RDs were granted Medicare Medical Nutrition Therapy benefit provider status beginning in 2002, and the ADA adopted the Nutrition Care Process and Model in 2003, which standardized terminology for the steps of nutrition assessment, nutrition diagnosis, nutrition intervention, and nutrition monitoring and evaluation (5); and
- are designed as two separate sets of standards, one for RDs and one for DTRs. This recognizes the distinct responsibilities of the RD and DTR in providing medical nutrition therapy. It is also consistent with federal law and the action of ADA’s Board of Directors in September 2003, which clarified that the DTR works under the supervision of the RD when providing medical nutrition therapy.
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What are the Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals?

The Standards of Professional Performance:

- describe in general terms a competent level of behavior in the professional role, including activities related to quality of care and administrative practice, performance appraisal, education, professional environment, ethics, collaboration, research, and resource utilization. For clarity, the ADA's board in September 2003 changed the name of the former Standards of Professional Practice, which were last updated in 1998, to Standards of Professional Performance, to more accurately describe their content and function (6);
- include indicators and examples of outcomes reflecting enhancements from the outer rings of the ADA Nutrition Care Process and Model (3) (eg, environmental factors such as practice settings, health care systems, social systems, economics, and support system components), as well as the screening, referral, and outcomes management systems; and
- are designed as one core Standard of Professional Performance to be implemented by all dietetics professionals.

How Do the Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care Relate to the Standards of Professional Performance?

The Standards of Practice and Standards of Professional Performance are complementary documents. The Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care describe a competent level of nutrition care practice. The Standards of Professional Performance describe a competent level of behavior in the professional role. One does not replace the other; rather, both serve to describe more comprehensively the practice and professional performance of dietetics practitioners.

The Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care apply to a wide variety of public health, community, and practice settings, including clinical health care settings (ie, inpatient, ambulatory, and extended care). However, they primarily apply to dietetics practitioners who have direct contact with individuals and groups. Those dietetics practitioners who do not have direct contact with individuals and groups will find that the Standards of Professional Performance, along with the Code of Ethics, meet their needs for evaluating their professional services. This may include those professionals who primarily manage food and other material resources, market products and services, teach dietetics professionals and students, conduct research, manage human resources, or manage facilities.

Why Are They Important?

The standards are designed to promote:

- providing safe, effective, and efficient food and nutrition care and services;
- evidence-based practice;
- improving quality and health outcomes;
- continuous quality improvement;
- evaluation and research;
- leading and developing practice;
- innovation and changing practice; and
- developing the individual and others.

The standards:

- define, in general terms, desirable and achievable levels of performance;
- acknowledge the common dimensions of practice;
- provide a common base for practitioners to use in individual evaluation and the development of a high level of practice quality;
- describe responsibilities for which RDs and DTRs are accountable;
- articulate the role of dietetics and the unique services that dietetics professionals provide within the health care team;
- provide a mechanism for regulatory bodies, consumer groups, accrediting agencies, insurers, and other third-party payers to evaluate the quality of food and nutrition care and services provided;
- enable patients/clients to judge the adequacy of dietetics services;
- provide guidance for researchers to identify relationships between dietetics practice and outcomes;
- provide guidance for educators in setting objectives for educational programs; and
- provide a blueprint for developing specialty practice and advanced RD standards.

How Are They Structured?

The standards are outcome-focused, with mainly process-based criteria, because this is the most effective way to develop and measure quality. Each standard is equal in relevance and importance. Both the RD and DTR Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care and the Standards of Professional Performance have the following components:

- the Standards: Brief, authoritative statement that describes a competent level of dietetics practice or professional performance.
- Rationales: Statements that describe the intent of the standards and define their purpose and importance in greater detail.
- Indicators: Measurable, quantifiable, concrete action statements that illustrate how each specific standard may be applied in practice to meet the standard.
- Examples of Outcomes: Measurable end results or changes that can be expected as a result of applying the indicators of the RD and DTR Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care and the Standards of Professional Performance.

How Can I Use the Standards to Evaluate My Practice?

Standards are promoted as part of the broader professional development process. Standards are clearly linked to other quality mechanisms within the profession. To use the standards, dietetics professionals do not need to apply every indicator or achieve every outcome. The indicators and outcomes are given as examples to elaborate on the standard and its indicator. Dietetics professionals are not limited to the examples indicated or the outcomes listed in the standards, and indicators may not be applicable to all practitioners. Likewise, indicators may not be applicable all the time.

The Figure shows a tool for reflecting on practice in a scheduled, proac-
The four standards of practice in nutrition care and six standards of professional performance describe a competent level of dietetics practice and professional performance.

As you read the standards and rationale statements, determine how each relates to your practice.

Indicators

Indicators are action statements that illustrate how each standard can be applied in practice. Indicators link the standards to the outcomes.

Read each of the indicator statements. Review direct evidence of competence (eg, medical records, peer interactions, client interactions, documentation, observation, education materials)

Are you performing these activities consistently?

Examples of Outcomes

Outcomes are measurable end results or changes and are provided to help individuals set minimum goals for each standard.

Review the examples of outcomes. Review direct evidence of competence (eg, medical records, peer interactions, client interactions, documentation, observation, education materials)

Am I knowledgeable and do I consistently demonstrate it in my practice?

If you are seeing consistent results in your practice, take a continuous improvement approach to implementing the standards, and plan to revisit and reevaluate on a regular basis.

Do I need to learn more or enhance my practice?

Use this information to develop a Professional Development Plan. The CDR Professional Development Portfolio Process offers a framework for credentialed professionals to develop specific goals, identify learning needs, and pursue continuing education opportunities.

How Do They Relate to My Everyday Practice?

Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance exist to ensure that the highest quality of care and service is maintained. Standards support the learner or the novice, a more advanced beginner. For a practitioner lacking mastery, standards of practice provide a safe structure by which to practice, as they spell out what to do, in situations in which the provider has no prior experience, by breaking down the activity into smaller components and providing pertinent indicators. They act as an essential teaching guide.

It is very important to understand that while serving the essential role of guiding the novice, the standards also guide the competent level of dietetics practice and professional performance for more experienced practitioners. Standards are the conduits of the culture of care, and therefore, the very essence of that care. By serving as a teaching tool, they establish a level of expectation about food and nutrition care and service delivery (8,9).

Consistent adherence to standards also provides an added measure of safety by extending professional expertise. With the guidance of practice standards, practitioners can step into situations and perform effectively. Standards are written in general rather than specific terms to account for individual dietetics practitioners handling of new or nonroutine situations.

Standards are geared toward the typical situation and are not intended to supersede the individual, specific needs of the client at any given time. Dietetics professionals face many complex situations every day. Understanding the unique needs of each situation and the latitude in applying standards is imperative to providing effective, high-quality care and services. Strictly adhering to standards does not, in and of itself, constitute best food and nutrition care and service. It is up to the individual practitioner to recognize and interpret situations, and to know what standards apply and in what ways they apply (10).

Individual practitioners who use the standards must be aware of state and federal laws affecting their practices as well as organizational policies and guidelines. The intent of the standards is not to supersede these laws, polices, and guidelines, but to serve as a resource for the development or modification of licensure laws as well as organizational policies and guidelines.

Available on the ADA Web site is a sample Nutrition Care Policy for use in clinical health care settings (inpatient, ambulatory, and extended care) that uses the standards as the foundation of the policy. The standards are not inflexible rules and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care. Within each setting, policies and procedures may be developed to reflect site-specific conditions, to provide operational guidelines for recommended practice, and to delineate local authority, responsibility, and accountability.

It is also important to note the additional tools from the ADA Scope of Dietetics Practice Framework, the Decision Aids. Because the standards are written in a generic format, the Decision Tree, Decision Analysis Tool, and the Definitions of Terms may be helpful when state, federal, and ADA documents do not clearly delineate responsibility.

What about Standards of Practice for Specialty or Advanced-Level Practice?

The Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance are designed as blueprints to accommodate the development of specialty and advanced-level practice standards for RDs.

- The generic standards, along with the definition and rationale for each, remain the same. However, the indicators for specialty level and advanced practice are expanded to reflect the unique competence expectations for specific specialty and/or advanced-level practice.
- The Standards of Practice and Standards of Professional Performance for RDs (Generalist, Specialty, and Advanced) in Diabetes Care will be the first specialty and advanced-practice standards developed by the ADA Diabetes Care and Education Dietetic Practice Group under the guidance of the Quality Management Committee. The Standards of Practice (Generalist, Specialty, and Advanced) in Diabetes Care incorporate additional indicator(s) for a generalist (entry level RD or novice RD) in diabetes care, for an RD at the specialty level of practice, and for an RD in advanced diabetes practice for each standard. Work is also in progress on Oncology and Behavior Health standards.

SUMMARY

The Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care, the Standards of Professional Performance, and Specialty and Advanced Standards, along with the Code of Ethics, compose one of the three key blocks of the new Scope of Dietetics Practice Framework. Referred to as the Evaluation Resources block, they are used collectively to gauge and guide a competent level of dietetics practice and professional performance.

These resources will continue to evolve as new trends in dietetics practice emerge. All dietetics professionals should have in their personal libraries the most recent copies of the resources that compose the Scope of Dietetics Practice Framework. To ensure that practitioners always have access to the most updated resources, the latest copies will be available on the ADA Web site at www.eatright.org. Also available on the Web site will be presentation materials, case scenarios, and other application tools to support practitioners in communication about and implementation of the standards.
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Appendix: Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care for the Registered Dietitian, Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care for the Dietetic Technician, Registered, and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals

The standards describe a competent level of dietetics practice and professional performance. They are authoritative statements addressing four standards of practice in nutrition care, designed as two separate sets of standards—one for registered dietitians (RDs) and one for dietetic technicians, registered (DTRs)—as well as six standards of professional performance common to all registered dietetics professionals. The Standards of Practice in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance are generic standards and may evolve to include specialty and advanced practice standards for RDs in specific practice areas.

The Standards of Practice and Standards of Professional Performance are complementary documents. One does not replace the other; rather, both serve to more completely describe the practice and professional performance of dietetics and should be considered together. For the RD, the Standards of Practice for the RD in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together. For the DTR, the Standards of Practice for the DTR in Nutrition Care and Standards of Professional Performance for Dietetics Professionals are to be considered together.
economic, functional, and behavioral factors related to food access, selection, preparation, and understanding of health condition.

1.3.1. Uses validated developmental, cultural, ethnic, lifestyle, and functional and mental status assessments

1.4. Evaluates client(s) knowledge, readiness to learn, and potential for behavior changes

1.4.1. History of previous nutrition care services/medical nutrition therapy

1.5. Identifies standards by which data will be compared

1.6. Identifies possible problem areas for making nutrition diagnoses

1.7. Documents and communicates:

1.7.1. Date and time of assessment

1.7.2. Pertinent data collected and compared with standards

1.7.3. Client’s perceptions, values, and motivation related to presenting problems

1.7.4. Changes in client’s level of understanding, food-related behaviors, and other outcomes for appropriate follow-up

1.7.5. Reason for discharge/discontinuation or referral, if appropriate

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 1: Nutrition Assessment

- Appropriate assessment tools and procedures (matching the assessment method to the situation) are implemented.
- Assessment tools are applied in valid and reliable ways.
- Appropriate data are collected.
- Data are validated.
- Data are organized and categorized in a meaningful framework that relates to nutrition problems.
- Effective interviewing methods are utilized.
- Problems that require consultation with or referral to another provider are recognized.
- Documentation and communication of assessment are complete, relevant, accurate, and timely.

Standard 2: Nutrition Diagnosis

The registered dietitian identifies and describes an actual occurrence of, risk of, or potential for developing a nutrition problem that the registered dietitian is responsible for treating independently.

Rationale: At the end of the assessment step, data are clustered, analyzed, and synthesized. This will reveal a nutrition diagnostic category from which to formulate a specific Nutrition Diagnostic Statement. A nutrition diagnosis changes as the client response changes, whereas a medical diagnosis does not change as long as the disease or condition exists. There is a firm distinction between a nutrition diagnosis and a medical diagnosis. The main difference between the two types of diagnoses is that the nutrition diagnosis does not make a final conclusion about the identity and cause of the underlying disease. A client may have the medical diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus; however, after performing a nutrition assessment, the RD may determine a nutrition diagnosis using nutrition diagnostic labels such as excessive energy intake or excessive carbohydrate intake. In the community or public health setting, the nutrition diagnosis may relate to a population-based condition (eg, food safety and access) rather than a medical diagnosis. Examples of nutrition diagnostic labels might then be intake of unsafe food or limited access to food. The nutrition diagnosis shows a link to setting realistic and measurable expected outcomes, selecting appropriate interventions, and tracking progress in attaining those expected outcomes.

Indicators for Standard 2: Nutrition Diagnosis

2. Each RD:

2.1. Derives the nutrition diagnosis from the assessment data

2.1.1. Identifies and labels the problem

2.1.2. Determines etiology (cause, contributing risk factors)

2.1.3. Clusters signs and symptoms (defining characteristics)

2.2. Ranks (classifies) the nutrition diagnoses

2.2.1. Validates the nutrition diagnosis with clients/community, family members, or other health care professionals when possible and appropriate

2.3. Documents the nutrition diagnosis in a written statement that includes the problem, etiology, and signs and symptoms (whenever possible). This may be referred to as the PES statement, which is the format commonly used: Problem (P), Etiology (E), and Signs and Symptoms (S)

2.4. Re-evaluates and revises nutrition diagnoses when additional assessment data become available

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 2: Nutrition Diagnosis

- A Nutrition Diagnostic Statement that is:
  ○ Clear and concise
  ○ Specific: client- or community-centered
  ○ Accurate: relates to the etiology
  ○ Based on reliable and accurate assessment data
  ○ Includes date (all settings) and time (acute care)

- Documentation of nutrition diagnosis(es) is relevant, accurate, and timely

- Documentation of nutrition diagnosis(es) is revised and updated as more assessment data become available

Standard 3: Nutrition Intervention

The registered dietitian identifies and implements appropriate, purposefully planned actions designed with the intent of changing a nutrition-related behavior, risk factor, environmental condition, or aspect of health status for an individual, a target group, or the community at large.

Rationale: Nutrition Intervention involves (a) selecting, (b) planning, and (c) implementing appropriate actions to meet clients’ nutrition needs. The selection of nutrition interventions is driven by the nutrition diagnosis and provides the basis on which outcomes are measured and evalu-
3. In planning the nutrition intervention, each RD:

3.1. Prioritizes the nutrition diagnoses based on severity of problem, likelihood that nutrition intervention will impact problem, and client’s perception of importance

3.2. Consults nationally developed evidence-based practice guidelines and measures for appropriate value(s) for control or improvement of the disease or conditions as defined and supported in the literature

3.3. Determines client-focused expected outcomes for each nutrition diagnosis

3.3.1. Develops expected outcomes in observable and measurable terms that are clear and concise, client-centered, tailored to what is reasonable to the client’s circumstances, and develops appropriate expectations for treatments and outcomes

3.4. Consults client/community, caregivers/other health professionals, or policies and program standards as appropriate throughout planning step

3.5. Defines intervention plan (eg, writes a nutrition prescription, develops an education plan or community program, creates policies that influence nutrition programs and standards)

3.6. Ensures that the intervention plan content is based on best available evidence (eg, nationally developed guidelines, published research, evidence-based libraries/databases)

3.6.1. Selects specific intervention strategies that are focused on the etiology of the problem and that are known to be effective based on current knowledge and evidence

3.7. In consultation with the client/community, defines time and frequency of care, including intensity, duration, and follow-up

3.8. Identifies resources and/or referrals needed

In implementing the nutrition intervention, each RD

3.9. Communicates the plan of nutrition and nutrition-related care

3.10. Carries out the plan of nutrition and nutrition-related care

3.11. Continues data collection and modifies the plan of care as needed

3.12. Individualizes nutrition and nutrition-related interventions to the setting and client

3.13. Collaborates with other colleagues and health care professionals

3.14. Follows up and verifies that implementation is occurring and needs are being met

3.15. Revises strategies as changes in condition/response occur

3.16. Documents:

3.16.1. Date and time

3.16.2. Specific treatment goals and expected outcomes

3.16.3. Recommended interventions

3.16.4. Any adjustments of plan and justifications

3.16.5. Client/community receptivity

3.16.6. Referrals made and resources used

3.16.7. Any other information relevant to providing care and monitoring progress over time

3.16.8. Plans for follow-up and frequency of care

3.16.9. Rationale for discharge if appropriate

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 3: Nutrition Intervention

- Appropriate prioritizing and setting of goals/expected outcomes is done.
- An appropriate nutrition prescription or plan is developed.
- Interdisciplinary connections are established.
- Nutrition interventions are delivered, and actions are carried out.
- Documentation of nutrition intervention is relevant, accurate, and timely.
- Documentation of nutrition interventions is revised and updated.

Standard 4: Nutrition Monitoring and Evaluation

The registered dietitian monitors and evaluates outcome(s) directly related to the nutrition diagnosis and the goals established in the intervention plan to determine the degree to which progress is being made and goals or desired outcomes of nutrition care are being met. Through monitoring and evaluation, the registered dietitian uses selected outcome indicators (markers) that are relevant to the client-defined needs, nutrition diagnosis, nutrition goals, and disease state/condition. Progress should be monitored, measured, and evaluated on a planned schedule until discharge. The registered dietitian uses data from this step to create an outcomes management system.

Rationale: Progress should be monitored, measured, and evaluated on a planned schedule until discharge. Alterations in outcome indicators such as hemoglobin A1C value or weight are examples that trigger reactivation of the nutrition care process. Monitoring specifically refers to the review and measurement of the client’s status at a scheduled (pre-planned) follow-up point with regard to the nutrition diagnosis, intervention plans/goals, and outcomes, whereas evaluation is the systematic
Indicators for Standard 4: Nutrition Monitoring and Evaluation

4. Each RD:
   4.1. Monitors progress
      4.1.1. Checks client understanding and adherence with plan
      4.1.2. Determines whether the intervention is being implemented as prescribed
      4.1.3. Provides evidence that the plan/intervention strategy is or is not changing client behavior or status
      4.1.4. Identifies other positive or negative outcomes
      4.1.5. Gathers information indicating reasons for lack of progress
      4.1.6. Supports conclusions with evidence
      4.1.7. Evaluates patterns, trends, and unintended variation related to problems and intervention
   4.2. Measures outcomes
      4.2.1. Selects standardized, evidence-based outcome indicators that are relevant to the client and directly related to the nutrition diagnosis and the goals established in the intervention plan (eg, direct nutrition outcomes, clinical and health status outcomes, client-centered outcomes, health care utilization)
   4.3. Evaluates outcomes
      4.3.1. Uses standardized indicators to compare current findings with previous status, intervention goals, and/or reference standards
   4.4. Documents:
      4.4.1. Date and time
      4.4.2. Specific indicators measured and results
      4.4.3. Progress toward goals (incremental small change can be significant; therefore, use of a Likert-type scale may be more descriptive than a goal evaluation tool that uses only met or not met categories)
      4.4.4. Factors facilitating or hampering progress
      4.4.5. Changes in client level of understanding and food-related behaviors
      4.4.6. Changes in clinical, functional, or health status outcomes assuring care/case management in the future
      4.4.7. Other positive or negative outcomes
      4.4.8. Future plans for nutrition care, monitoring, and follow-up or discharge

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 4: Nutrition Monitoring and Evaluation

- The client/community outcome(s) directly relate to the nutrition diagnosis and the goals established in the intervention plan. Examples include but are not limited to:
  - Direct nutrition outcomes (eg, knowledge gained, behavior change, food or nutrient intake changes, improved nutrition status);
  - Clinical and health status outcomes (eg, laboratory values, weight, blood pressure, risk factor profile changes, signs and symptoms, clinical status, infections, complications);
  - Client-centered outcomes (eg, quality of life, satisfaction, self-efficacy, self-management, functional ability); and
  - Health care utilization and cost outcomes (medication changes, special procedures, planned/unplanned clinic visits, preventable hospitalizations, length of hospitalization, prevention or delay of nursing home admission).

- Documentation of the monitoring and evaluation is relevant, accurate, and timely.
1.2.1. Medical and family history and comorbidities
1.2.2. Physical findings (physical or clinical examinations)
  1.2.2.1. Anthropometric measurements
1.2.3. Medication management (eg, prescription, over-the-counter, and herbal medications; medication allergies; medication/food interactions and adherence)
1.2.4. Complications and risks
1.2.5. Diagnostic tests, procedures, evaluations, and population-based surveys
1.2.6. Physical activity habits and restrictions
1.3. Evaluates psychosocial, socioeconomic, functional, and behavioral factors related to food access, selection, preparation, and understanding of health condition for uncomplicated instances of common conditions or population-based problems
  1.3.1. Uses validated developmental, cultural, ethnic, lifestyle, and functional and mental status assessments
1.4. Evaluates client(s) knowledge, readiness to learn, and potential for behavior changes for uncomplicated instances of common conditions or population-based problems
  1.4.1. History of previous nutrition care services or medical nutrition therapy
1.5. Identifies standards by which data will be compared
1.6. Identifies possible problem areas for making nutrition diagnoses for uncomplicated instances of common conditions or population-based problems
1.7. Assists the registered dietitian (RD) with nutrition assessment of individual patients/clients with complex medical conditions
1.8. Communicates findings to the RD
1.9. Documents and communicates:
  1.9.1. Date and time of assessment
  1.9.2. Pertinent data collected and comparison with standards
  1.9.3. Clients’ perceptions, values, and motivation related to presenting problems
  1.9.4. Changes in client level of understanding, food-related behaviors, and other outcomes for appropriate follow-up
  1.9.5. Reason for discharge/discontinuation or referral if appropriate

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 1: Nutrition Assessment
- Appropriate assessment tools and procedures (matching the assessment method to the situation) are implemented.
- Assessment tools are applied in valid and reliable ways.
- Appropriate data are collected.
- Data are validated.
- Data are organized and categorized in a meaningful framework that relates to nutrition problems.
- Effective interviewing methods are utilized.
- Problems that require consultation with or referral to another provider are recognized.
- Documentation and communication of assessment are complete, relevant, accurate, and timely.

Standard 2: Nutrition Diagnosis
The dietetic technician, registered, identifies and describes an actual occurrence of, risk of, or potential for developing a nutrition problem for uncomplicated instances of common conditions or population-based problems (eg, health promotion, disease prevention activities). The dietetic technician, registered assists the registered dietitian with nutrition diagnosis of individual clients with complex medical conditions. In the nutrition diagnosis for medical nutrition therapy, the dietetic technician, registered works under the supervision of a registered dietitian.

Rationale: At the end of the assessment step, data are clustered, analyzed, and synthesized. This will reveal a nutrition diagnostic category from which to formulate a specific nutrition diagnostic statement. A nutrition diagnosis changes as the client response changes, whereas a medical diagnosis does not change as long as the disease or condition exists. There is a firm distinction between a nutrition diagnosis and a medical diagnosis. The main difference between the two types of diagnoses is that the nutrition diagnosis does not make a final conclusion about the identity and cause of the underlying disease. A client may have the medical diagnosis of dyslipidemia, however, after performing a nutrition assessment, the DTR may determine a nutrition diagnosis using a nutrition diagnostic label such as excessive fat intake. In the community or public health setting, the nutrition diagnosis may relate to a population-based condition (eg, food safety and access) rather than to a medical diagnosis. Examples of nutrition diagnosis labels may then be intake of unsafe food or limited access to food. The nutrition diagnosis demonstrates a link to setting realistic and measurable expected outcomes, selecting appropriate interventions, and tracking progress in attaining those expected outcomes.

Indicators for Standard 2: Nutrition Diagnosis

2. Each DTR:
  2.1. Derives the nutrition diagnosis for uncomplicated instances of common conditions or population-based problems from the assessment data
  2.1.1. Identifies and labels the problem
  2.1.2. Determines etiology (cause, contributing risk factors)
  2.1.3. Clusters signs and symptoms (defining characteristics)
  2.2. Ranks (classifies) the nutrition diagnoses for uncomplicated instances of common conditions or population-based problems
  2.2.1. Validates the nutrition diagnosis with clients/community, family members, or other health care profession-
2.3. Documents the nutrition diagnosis for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations in a written statement(s) that includes the problem, etiology, and signs and symptoms (whenever possible). This may be referred to as the PES statement, which is the format commonly used: Problem (P), Etiology (E), and Signs and Symptoms (S).

2.4. Communicates with the RD regarding Nutrition Diagnostic Statements.

2.5. Assists the RD with nutrition diagnosis of individual clients with complex medical conditions.

2.6. Re-evaluates and revises nutrition diagnoses when additional assessment data becomes available.

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 2: Nutrition Diagnosis

- A Nutrition Diagnostic Statement that is:
  - Clear and concise
  - Specific: client- or community-centered
  - Accurate: relates to the etiology
  - Based on reliable and accurate assessment data
  - Includes date (all settings) and time (acute care)

- Documentation of nutrition diagnosis is relevant, accurate, and timely.

- Documentation of nutrition diagnosis is revised and updated as more assessment data become available.

- Documentation of communication with the RD.

Standard 3: Nutrition Intervention

The dietetic technician, registered identifies and implements appropriate, purposefully planned actions for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (eg, health promotion, disease prevention activities) designed with the intent of changing nutrition-related behavior, risk factor, environmental condition, or aspect of health status for an individual, a target group, or the community at large. The dietetic technician, registered assists the registered dietitian with the nutrition intervention of individual clients with complex medical conditions. In the nutrition intervention for medical nutrition therapy, the dietetic technician, registered works under the supervision of a registered dietitian.

Rationale: Nutrition intervention involves (a) selecting, (b) planning, and (c) implementing appropriate actions to meet clients' nutrition needs. The selection of nutrition interventions is driven by the nutrition diagnosis and provides the basis on which outcomes are measured and evaluated. An intervention is a specific set of activities and associated materials used to address the problem. The DTR may actually perform the interventions, or may delegate or coordinate the nutrition care that others provide. All interventions must be based on scientific principles and rationale, and when available, grounded in a high level of quality research (evidence-based interventions). The DTR works collaboratively with the client, family, caregiver, or community to create a realistic plan that has a good probability of positively influencing the nutrition diagnosis/problem. This client-driven process is a key element in the success of this step, distinguishing it from previous steps that may or may not have involved the client to this degree of participation.

Indicators for Standard 3: Nutrition Intervention

3. In planning the nutrition intervention, each DTR:

3.1. Prioritizes the nutrition diagnoses for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (eg, health promotion/disease prevention activities) based on severity of problem, likelihood that nutrition intervention will impact problem, and client's perception of importance.

3.2. Consults nationally developed evidence-based practice guidelines/measures for appropriate value(s) for control or improvement of the disease or conditions as defined and supported in the literature.

3.3. Determines client-focused expected outcomes for each nutrition diagnosis for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (eg, health promotion/disease prevention activities).

3.3.1. Develops expected outcomes for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (eg, health promotion/disease prevention activities) in observable and measurable terms that are clear and concise, client-centered, tailored to what is reasonable to the client's circumstances, and develops appropriate expectations for treatments and outcomes.

3.4. Confers with client/community, caregivers/other health professionals, an RD if indicated, or policies and program standards as appropriate throughout planning step.

3.5. Defines intervention plan for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (eg, health promotion/disease prevention activities) (eg, writes a basic nutrition prescription, participates in defining an education plan or community program, participates in creating policies that influence nutrition programs and standards).

3.6. Ensures intervention plan content for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations is based on best available evidence (eg, nationally developed guidelines, published research, evidence-based libraries/databases).

3.6.1. Selects specific intervention strategies for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations that are focused on the etiology of the problem and are known to be effective based on...
best current knowledge and evidence.

3.7. In consultation with the client/community, defines time and frequency of care, including intensity, duration, and follow-up for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations.

3.8. Assists the RD with the planning of the nutrition intervention of individual clients with complex medical conditions.

3.9. Identifies resources and/or referrals needed.

3.10. Communicates the plan of nutrition and nutrition-related care for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (e.g., health promotion/disease prevention activities).

3.11. Carries out the plan of nutrition and nutrition-related care for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (e.g., health promotion/disease prevention activities).

3.12. Continues data collection and modifies the plan of care as needed for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (e.g., health promotion/disease prevention activities).

3.13. Individualizes nutrition and nutrition-related interventions to the setting and client/community for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (e.g., health promotion/disease prevention activities).

3.14. Collaborates and communicates with the RD, other colleagues, and health care professionals.

3.15. Follows up and verifies that implementation is occurring and needs are being met.

3.16. Revises strategies for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (e.g., health promotion/disease prevention activities) as a change in condition/response occurs.

3.17. Assists with the implementation of the nutrition intervention of individual clients with complex medical conditions.

3.18. Documents:

3.18.1. Date and time

3.18.2. Specific treatment goals and expected outcomes

3.18.3. Recommended interventions

3.18.4. Any adjustments of plan and justifications

3.18.5. Client/community receptivity

3.18.6. Referrals made and resources used

3.18.7. Any other information relevant to providing care and monitoring progress over time

3.18.8. Plans for follow-up and frequency of care

3.18.9. Rationale for discharge if appropriate

3.18.10. Communication with RD

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 3: Nutrition Intervention

- Appropriate prioritizing and setting of goals/expected outcomes is done.
- Appropriate basic nutrition prescription or basic plan is developed.
- Interdisciplinary connections are established.
- Nutrition Interventions are delivered, and actions are carried out.
- Documentation of nutrition intervention is relevant, accurate, and timely.
- Documentation of nutrition interventions is revised and updated.
- Documentation of communication with the RD is done.

In implementing the nutrition intervention, each DTR:

4.1.3. Provides evidence that progression is being made and goals or desired outcomes of nutrition care are being met. Through monitoring and evaluation, the dietetic technician, registered uses selected outcome indicators (markers) that are relevant to the client’s defined needs, nutrition diagnosis, nutrition goals, and disease state/condition/health status. The dietetic technician, registered uses data from this step to create an outcomes management system. The dietetic technician, registered assists the registered dietitian with the monitoring and evaluations of individual clients with complex medical conditions. In the nutrition monitoring and evaluation for medical nutrition therapy, the dietetic technician, registered works under the supervision of a registered dietitian.

Rationale: Progress should be monitored, measured, and evaluated on a planned schedule until discharge. Alterations in outcome indicators such as A1C hemoglobin value or weight are examples that trigger reactivation of the nutrition care process. Monitoring specifically refers to the review and measurement of the client’s status at a scheduled (pre-planned) follow-up point with regard to the nutrition diagnosis, intervention plans/goals, and outcomes. Whereas evaluation is the systematic comparison of current findings with previous status, intervention goals, or a reference standard.

Indicators for Standard 4: Nutrition Monitoring and Evaluation

4. Each DTR:

4.1. Monitors progress for uncomplicated instances of common conditions in individuals and populations (e.g., health promotion/disease prevention activities).

4.1.1. Checks client understanding and adherence with plan.

4.1.2. Determines whether the intervention is being implemented as prescribed.

4.1.3. Provides evidence that the intervention strat-
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1.11. Continuously evaluates processes and outcomes of both nutrition/health quality and service quality dimensions (ie, convenience, dignity, ease of access, privacy, comfort, client involvement in decision-making, and promptness of care)

1.12. Advocates for the provision of food and nutrition services as part of public policy

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 1: Provision of Services

- Clients actively participate in establishing goals and objectives.
- Clients’ needs are met.
- Clients are satisfied with products and services provided.
- Evaluation reflects expected outcomes.
- Appropriate screening and referral systems are established.
- Public has access to food and nutrition services.

Standard 2: Application of Research

The dietetics practitioner effectively applies, participates in, or generates research to enhance practice.

Rationale: Effective application, support, and generation of dietetics research in practice encourages continuous quality improvement and provides documented support for the benefit of the client.

Indicators for Standard 2: Application of Research

2. Each dietetics practitioner:
   2.1. Locates and reviews best available research findings for application to dietetics practice
   2.2. Bases practice on sound scientific principles, best available research, and theory
   2.3. Integrates best available research with clinical/managerial expertise and client values (evidence-based practice)
   2.4. Promotes research through alliances and collaboration with dietetics and other professionals and organizations
   2.5. Contributes to the development of new knowledge and research in dietetics
   2.6. Collects measurable data and documents outcomes within the practice setting
   2.7. Shares research data and activities through various media

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 2: Application of Research

- Client receives appropriate services based on the effective application of research.
- A foundation for performance measurement and improvement is provided.
- Outcomes data support reimbursement for the services of dietetics professionals.
- Best available research findings are used for the development and revision of resources used for practice content.
- Practitioner uses benchmarking and knowledge of best practices to improve performance.

Standard 3: Communication and Application of Knowledge

The dietetics practitioner effectively applies knowledge and communicates with others.

Rationale: Dietetics practitioners work with and through others while using their unique knowledge of food, human nutrition, and management, in addition to their skills in providing services.

Indicators for Standard 3: Communication and Application of Knowledge

3. Each dietetics practitioner:
   3.1. Has knowledge related to a specific area(s) of professional service
   3.2. Communicates sound scientific principles, research, and theory
   3.3. Integrates knowledge of food and human nutrition with knowledge of health, social sciences, communication, and management theory
   3.4. Shares knowledge and information with clients
   3.5. Helps students and clients apply knowledge and skills
   3.6. Documents interpretation of relevant information and results of communication with professionals, personnel, students, or clients

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 3: Communication and Application of Knowledge

- Practitioner provides expertise in food, nutrition, and management information.
- Client understands the information received.
- Client receives current and appropriate information and knowledge.
- Client knows how to obtain additional guidance.

Standard 4: Utilization and Management of Resources

The dietetics practitioner uses resources effectively and efficiently in practice.

Rationale: Appropriate use of time, money, facilities, and human resources facilitates delivery of quality services.

Indicators for Standard 4: Utilization and Management of Resources

4. Each dietetics practitioner:
   4.1. Uses a systematic approach to maintain and manage professional resources successfully
   4.2. Uses measurable resources such as personnel, money, equipment, guidelines, protocols, reference materials, and time in the provision of dietetics services
   4.3. Analyzes safety, effectiveness, and cost in planning and delivering products and services
   4.4. Justifies use of resources by documenting consistency with plan, continuous quality improvement, and desired outcomes
   4.5. Educates and helps clients and others to identify and secure appropriate and available resources and services
Examples of Outcomes for Standard 4: Utilization and Management of Resources

- The dietetics practitioner documents use of resources according to plan and budget.
- Resources and services are measured, and data are used to promote and validate the effectiveness of services.
- Desired outcomes are achieved and documented.
- Resources are managed and used cost-effectively.

Standard 5: Quality in Practice

The dietetics practitioner systematically evaluates the quality and effectiveness of practice and revises practice as needed to incorporate the results of evaluation.

Rationale: Quality practice requires regular performance evaluation and continuous improvement of services.

Indicators for Standard 5: Quality in Practice

5. Each dietetics practitioner:
   5.1. Continually understands and measures the quality of food and nutrition services in terms of structure, process, and outcomes
   5.2. Identifies performance improvement criteria to monitor effectiveness of services
   5.3. Designs and tests interventions to change processes and systems of food and nutrition care and services with the objective of improving quality
   5.4. Identifies errors and hazards in food and nutrition care and services
   5.5. Recognizes and implements basic safety design principles, such as standardization and simplification
   5.6. Identifies expected outcomes
   5.7. Documents outcomes of services provided
   5.8. Compares actual performance to expected outcomes
   5.9. Documents action taken when discrepancies exist between active performance and expected outcomes
   5.10. Continuously evaluates and refines services based on measured outcomes

5.11. Implements an outcomes management system to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of practice

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 5: Quality in Practice

- Performance improvement criteria are measured.
- Actual performance is evaluated.
- Aggregate of outcomes data meets established criteria (objectives/goals).
- Results of quality improvement activities direct refinement of practice.

Standard 6: Continued Competence and Professional Accountability

The dietetics practitioner engages in lifelong self-development to improve knowledge and enhance professional competence.

Rationale: Professional practice requires continuous acquisition of knowledge and skill development to maintain accountability to the public.

Indicators for Standard 6: Continued Competence and Professional Accountability

6. Each dietetics practitioner:
   6.1. Conducts self-assessment at regular intervals to identify professional strengths and weaknesses
   6.2. Identifies needs for professional development from a variety of sources
   6.3. Participates in peer review and mentors others
   6.4. Develops and implements a plan for professional growth
   6.5. Documents professional development activities
   6.6. Adheres to the Code of Ethics for the profession of dietetics and is accountable and responsible for actions and behavior
   6.7. Implements the American Dietetic Association Standards of Practice and Standards of Professional Performance
   6.8. Supports the application of research findings and best available evidence to professional practice
   6.9. Takes active leadership roles

Examples of Outcomes for Standard 6: Continued Competence and Professional Accountability

- Self-assessments are completed.
- Development needs are identified and directed learning is demonstrated.
- Practice outcomes demonstrate adherence to the Code of Ethics, Standards of Practice, and Standards of Professional Performance.
- Practice decisions reflect best available evidence.
- Appropriate certifications are obtained.
- Commission on Dietetic Registration recertification requirements are met.